Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/997,126	ARONHIME ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fiona T. Powers	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Fiona T. Powers</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joseph Coppola</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 September 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  NONE		
Claims discussed: 150, 152, 157 and 187		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		- Fre-Evens)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr Coppola to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if a period were added at the end of claims 150, 152 and 157 and claim 187 were cancelled. Claim 187 should be cancelled because it is non-statutory. It was agreed that claim 187 would be cancelled and a period added at the end of claims 150, 152 and 157 by examiner's amendment